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## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The purpose of this Advice Note is to provide guidance for the identification and development of minor improvement schemes. It supersedes the UK Highways Agency TA 85/01, November 2001 and it should be used instead of NRA TD $9 / 05$, Chapter 10. This Advice Note is primarily intended for the use on rural roads. If it is intended to apply it to an urban environment prior approval shall be sought from the NRA.
1.2. In addition this Advice Note should be used forthwith on all schemes for the management, improvement and maintenance of national roads currently being prepared. Design Organisations should confirm its application to particular schemes with the National Roads Authority by completing Application From AF 1 (see Appendix A).

## Definition of a Minor Improvement

1.3. The term "minor improvement" is used to indicate any works that are generally less than 2 km in length or are less than the NRA threshold in cost.

## Route Consistency

1.4. Route Consistency is of the utmost importance when designing for minor improvements on existing National Roads. The road standard should reflect the expectations of the users and should not provide any unforeseen situations to the driver. In general isolated sections that do not comply with the current NRA DMRB standards should be reviewed. However isolated sections of new road should not be designed to a standard that could lead to driver uncertainty, e.g. when the new section rejoins the existing road.

## Cross-Section

1.4. The route strategy should be considered when selecting the cross-section for minor improvement works. It might not be feasible to provide a full cross-section in accordance with NRA TD 9 and NRA TD 27, for a minor improvement scheme,
unless it represents an initial phase of a phased improvement strategy.

## 2. DESIGN PRINCIPLES

## Design Standards

2.1. When considering low cost minor improvements to existing National Roads, Designers may have difficulty in achieving NRA DMRB design criteria within the existing physical, economic or environmental constraints.
2.2. This Advice Note gives guidance on the appropriate design standard applicable to minor improvement works. Chapter 3 of this document categorises minor improvement works and gives guidance on the design speeds applicable to each category.
2.3. When a minor improvement to a section of the network is being considered, Designers may be faced with reduced options due to technical constraints, land availability, conflicting priorities and budget constraints. Experience has shown that in some cases, low cost minor improvement aimed at improving safety and making better use of the existing road network can often be highly cost effective, i.e. road markings, minor junction physical alterations, lower speed limits.

## Data Gathering

2.4. Improvement schemes address deficiencies in one or more of three basic criteria: safety (i.e. accident statistics), capacity / operation (i.e. AADT, $\% \mathrm{HGV}, \%$ Overtaking), pavement condition and environmental aspects. In addition, improvement schemes should be considered as part of an integrated transport system which is
intended to provide choice in meeting people's transport needs and this approach is based on: integration, safety, economy, environmental impact and accessibility.
2.5. The need for improvement schemes may also be related to a number of more specific aspects, such as: requirements of nonmotorised road users (i.e. encourage cycling and walking), speed reduction (i.e. changes to road layout), driver behaviour (i.e. perception of road layout), improving route consistency (i.e. using similar minor improvements at particular hazard locations) and maintenance requirements (i.e. incorporate minor improvements into maintenance schemes)
2.6. The need for improvement schemes could also be related to accident statistics in the section of roadway under consideration. The primary accident type should be identified to assess if proposed improvement scheme improves occurrence of the primary accident cause. Depending on availability, accident statistics / reports should be analysed / reviewed for the previous five years. In Ireland more than $70 \%$ of all fatalities occur on rural roads, with $40 \%$ of these on national roads.
2.6 Fatalities on rural (non-motorway) roads can be attributed to six basic types of accidents as indicated in Table 2/1 below.

| Primary Accident Type | Fatal Accident \% | Injury Accident \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single Vehicle Only | 36 | 20 |
| Head-On | 22 | 20 |
| Pedestrian | 20 | 16 |
| Angle | 10 | 18 |
| Rear End | 4 | 16 |
| Other | 8 | 11 |

Table 2/1: Accident Type and Statistics (Source: Roads Collisions Facts, Ireland 2004)
2.7 In terms of capacity / operation the presence of large volumes of traffic on rural single carriageways may cause driver frustration, particularly where slow moving vehicles are encountered. This can lead to attempts to overtake at inappropriate locations, resulting in head-on collisions. Improvement in the flow of traffic may be achieved by making minor improvements to road layouts or better utilisation of existing road space. Improvement to overtaking opportunities when developing minor improvement schemes should be considered in developing the scheme (see NRA DMRB TD 9).

## 3. CATEGORISATION OF MINOR IMPROVEMENT SCHEMES

3.1. Minor improvement schemes will comprise one or more minor improvement measures, which may be combined in different ways to meet specific requirements. However it is essential that the Designer does not simply combine measures without considering the scheme as a whole.
3.2. Combinations of measures need to be assessed for their effect on each other, in order to avoid adverse consequences.
3.3. Minor improvement measures to an existing road may be applied locally, to a discrete section or to a whole route. It is essential that the Designer takes an overview of the improvement measure(s) within an appropriate route length (i.e. 2 km ) in order to avoid the introduction of a new problem either at the location of the
improvement or elsewhere. Designers should appreciate the net effect of all elements of the layout, including retained existing detail, on the perceptions and behaviour of drivers, pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians.
3.4. For the purpose of this Advice Note minor improvement measures have been divided into three categories, as shown in Table 3/1. A minor improvement scheme may comprise a combination of these (or other) individual measures, i.e. Category 1 improvements could contain some elements of Category 2 and Category 3. Also Category 2 improvements could contain elements of Category 3.

| Category 1 | Category 2 | Category 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal Alignment, Vertical <br> Realignment, Overtaking <br> Sections, Climbing Lanes | Drainage | Edge Treatment <br> (No Change to X-Section) |
| Carriageway Widening | Alterations to Superelevation, <br> Crossfall or Adverse Camber | Overlay <br> (No Change to Alignment) |
| Major Junction Improvements | Carriageway Widening <br> (No Change to Horizontal) | Upgrading an Existing Signal <br> Controlled Junction |
|  | Vertical Alignment Only | Maintenance |
|  |  <br> Junction Modification |  |
|  | Provision of Traffic Signals at New <br> \& Existing Priority Junctions <br> (including Roundabouts) |  |

Table 3/1: Minor Improvement Category Types

## Category 1 Minor Improvements

3.5. Category 1 Minor Improvements as outlined in Table $3 / 1$, shall conform to the current standards and design speeds outlined in NRA TD 9. Category 1 Minor Improvements should, where possible, meet desirable minimum standards, however this may not be achievable in all instances and in such circumstances it may be necessary to consider Relaxations or Departures from Standard. The procedures set out in Paragraph 1.15 to 1.31 of NRA TD 9 should be used for considering options, recording relaxations and applying for Departures. These procedures should be applied for all remaining or proposed features, which are less then Desirable Minimum.

## Category 2 Minor Improvements

3.6. Category 2 Minor Improvements as outlined in Table 3/1, should be designed to maintain the existing route consistency of the road taking into account the existing road geometry 2 km either side of the proposed scheme.

## Calculation of design speed

3.7. The design speed should then be calculated using the Alignment

Constraint and Layout Constraint as set out below.
3.8. Alignment constraint (Ac) measures the degree of constraint imparted by the road alignment, and is measured for single carriageways by:
$\mathrm{Ac}=12-\mathrm{VISI} / 60+2 \mathrm{~B} / 45$

Where, VISI is the Harmonic mean visibility and is measured by: VISI $=10^{2.46+\mathrm{vw} / 25-\mathrm{B} / 400}$

Where, VW is the average width of verge, plus hard shoulder where provided ( m , average for both sides of the road). Where an existing single carriageway contains sharp bends, frequent double continuous line sections, narrow verges etc then VISI can be taken as a value between 100 and 200 m .

And where, B is the Bendiness, which is measured as the total angle the road turns through per kilometre length. It is important to realise that the design speed is not dependent on the radius of curvature of individual curves per se but on the total of degrees turned through per km bendiness (see figure $3 / 1$ ) and that Bendiness should be


Figure 3/1: Bendiness
calculated as the average value over the section to be improved and 2 km either side of the proposed scheme. The bendiness should be calculated using 1:2500 scale OS digital mapping.
3.9. Layout Constraint (Lc) measures the degree of constraint imposed by the road cross-section, verge width and frequency of junctions and accesses. Table $3 / 2$ shows the values of Lc relative to cross section features and density of access, expressed as the total number of junctions, laybys and direct accesses (other than single field accesses) per km (see TD 41), over the distance of the Scheme and 2 km either side, where:
$\mathrm{L}=$ Low Access numbering up to 5 per km;
$\mathrm{M}=$ Medium Access numbering 6 to 8 per km;
$\mathrm{H}=$ High Access numbering 9 or more per km.

| Carriageway <br> width (ex. <br> Hard strips) | 6.0 m |  | 7.0 m |  | 7.3 m |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree of <br> access and <br> junctions | H | M | H | M | M | L |
| With hard <br> shoulders |  |  |  |  | 21 | 19 |
| With 3m <br> verge (no <br> hard <br> shoulder) | 29 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 21 |
| With 1.5m <br> verge (no <br> hard <br> shoulder) | 31 | 28 |  | 27 |  |  |
| With 0.5m <br> verge (no <br> hard <br> shoulder) | 33 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3/2: Layout Constraint, Lc km/h
3.9 The Design Speed is then derived from the ensuing Ac and Lc values using figure $3 / 2$ below. The strategy for the continuous section of road however should be considered when determining Ac and the cross-sectional design.


Alignment Constraint
Figure 3/2: Design Speed

### 3.10 Relaxations and Departures for Category 2 Improvements

Where the Desirable Minimum standards pertaining to the calculated design speed cannot be achieved, it may be necessary to consider Relaxations or Departures from Standard. The procedures set out in Paragraphs 1.15 to 1.31 of NRA TD 9 should be used for considering options, recording Relaxations and applying for Departures pertaining to the above Design Speed as calculated.

## Category 3 Minor Improvements

3.11 Category 3 works do not contain any layout changes and therefore the use of current NRA DMRB standards are not applicable. See Table 3/1.

## Junction Treatment

3.12 All accesses, excluding field accesses, affected by Category 1 and 2 Minor Improvements are to be reviewed in accordance with the current NRA DMRB using the appropriate design speed selected above.

## Road Safety Audits

3.13 Road Safety Audits shall be
performed as per NRA HD 19/04.

## Signage

3.14 In considering any improvement, the designer should look at consistency of route standards. Where changes to route consistency occur, this should be reinforced by appropriate signing and lining.

## Safety Barriers

3.15 The following conditions shall be
complied with regards to the Categories of Minor Improvements as stated above:

Categories 1 and 2: Designers should review the need for barriers and should design the positioning to comply with NRA TD 19/04. Designers should also review the operational characteristics of the existing barriers to comply with NRA TD 19/04.
3.16 Where the Desirable Minimum standards pertaining to safety barriers cannot be achieved, it may be necessary to consider Relaxations or Departures from Standard.

## 4. DESIGN PROCEDURE

4.1 The objective of the design procedure is to achieve optimal value for money (taking all factors into account) within budget constraints. Having identified a need for an improvement scheme, the procedure should accord with good practice, following three basic steps;

- Step 1 Outline Application for Grant,
- Step 2 Design
- Step 3 Contract Documents


## See Figure 4/1.

4.2 The basic design issues and constraints should be established following the collation of information relevant to the existing situation and proposed improvement. See Table $4 / 1$ for list of probable data required. It should be noted that this list is non-exhaustive.

| Pavement Condition | Safety | Consultation | Design Speed | Future Land Use | Capacity / Operational | Environmental Constraints | Local Constraints | Drainage | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IRI | Lighting | Statutory Undertakers | Speed Survey | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { County / } \\ \text { Town } \\ \text { Development } \\ \text { Plan } \end{array}$ | Traffic Count Source | Site Visit | Accommodation Works | Cariageway Drainage | Map of <br> Previous <br> Improvements <br> \& Year <br> carried out |
| SCRIM | Signing \& Lining | Publically \& Privately Owned Services | Road Type | Major Realignment / Bypass | NRA Traffic Figures | Archaeology | Site Visit | Watermain Leaks | FOSD |
| Visual | Accident Statistics | Overseeing Organisation | Carriageway Width | Zoning | AADT | Ecology | Domestic Access | Site Visit | SSD |
| FWD | Accident Analysis |  | Alignment Constraints (Ac) | Planning | \% HGV |  | Kerbs \& Footpaths |  | Location <br> Grid <br> Reference |
| Core Samples | Horizontal \& Vertical Alignment |  | Layout Constraints (Lc) |  | \% Overtaking |  | Public Utilities |  | Route No. |
| Soft Spots | Low-Cost <br> Accident Grant <br> - <br> Simultaneously |  |  <br> Vertical Alignment |  | NRA Website |  | Structures |  | Existing Signing \& Lining |
|  | High-Cost Accident Grant - Simultaneously |  | Individual <br> Access <br> Points |  |  |  | Water Courses |  | Mandatory Speed Limit |
|  | Safety Barrier Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4/1: Data Gathering

Figure 4/1: Design Procedure


## APPENDIX A

Minor Improvement Scheme Grant Application Form - AF 1
NATIONAL ROADS
An tÚdarás um Bóithre
NRAF
MINOR IMPROVEMENT SCHEME GRANT APPLICATION FORM - AF 1


Engineering

| Scrim | IRI | FWD | Visual | FOSD | SSD * | Surface Type | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Geometric
Consistency (2Km either direction)

| Route Consistency <br> (Attach Info) |  | Bendiness | C/way Width | FOSD | SSD * | Alignment <br> Constraint <br> (Ac) | Layout <br> Constraint <br> (Lc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Safety

| Accident Statistics (If <br> Yes Attach Info) |  | Safety Schemes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  |  |  |  |

Environmental Constraints


Capacity / Operational


Structures


Improvement Purpose



## APPENDIX B

## Minor Improvement Scheme Grant Application Form - AF 1 - Explanatory Sheet

## NATIONAL ROADS AUTHORITY

An tÚdarás um Bóithre Náisiúnta

EXPLANATORY SHEET

| Main Heading | Sub Heading | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General | Type | If applicable as many existing roads do not comply with current NRA DMRB standards. See NRA DMRB TD 9, Table 1 |
|  | Segment Length | Length of proposed improvement works. |
| Engineering | SCRIM | Average Figure - Data can be obtained from NRA Website |
|  | IRI | Average Figure - Data can be obtained from NRA Website |
|  | FWD | Or any other NRA approved structural assessment. |
|  | Visual | Windscreen and Walkover Surveys |
|  | FOSD | Not required for Category 3 Improvements. For Category 2 Improvements. Attach information to Application Form. Data can be obtained from historical data or by manual surveys. |
|  | $\overline{S S D}$ | Not required for Category 3 Improvements. For Category 2 improvements. Attach information to Application Form. Data can be obtained from historical data or by manual surveys. |
|  | Cross-Section | Average Cross-section to be provided to include carriageway width, hard shoulder and verge width. More than one cross-section to be provided if cross-sections vary, |
|  | Other | Existing features retained in the design that do not meet the requirements of the NRA DMRB. Any other information that the Local Authority would deem necessary to be included. This applies to Category $1 \& 2$ only. |
|  |  | Some proposals may impinge upon proposed network changes and consultation should include NRA Structures / Signing and Lining / Safety to ensure that there in no conflict. |
| Geometric Consistency <br> ( 2 km either direction) | Route Consistency | 2 km either side of proposed segment. |
|  | Bendiness | Not required for Category 3 Improvements. Refer to NRA DMRB TD 9, Chapter 10. |
|  | FOSD | Not required for Category 3 Improvements. Refer to NRA DMRB TD 9, Volume 6. |
|  | SSD | Not required for Category 3 Improvements. Refer to NRA DMRB TD 9, Volume 6. <br> * To be reported with exception only, i.e. If there is a particular problem area that needs to be identified. |
|  | Alignment Constraint (Ac) | The alignment constraint need only be calculated for Category 2 Minor Improvements. Refer to NRA DMRB TD 9, Chapter 10. |
|  | Layout Constraints (Lc) | The layout constraint need only be calculated for Category 2 Minor Improvements. Refer to NRA DMRB TD 9, Chapter 10. |
| Environmental Constraints |  | Not required for Category 2 or 3 improvements. |
| Category of Improvement | Category 1, 2 or 3 | See NRA TD 85/06 \& NRA TD 9/06, Chapter 10. |
| Safety | Accident Statistics | What is the primary cause of accidents. Will the proposed improvement address the primary cause? |
|  | Safety Schemes | Are any of the following safety measures under consideration for this site? <br> a) Traffic calming <br> b) Low cost remedial <br> c) High Cost Remedial |
| Bridges / Structures |  | Inter alia the following should be identified: <br> a) Are any bridges affected by proposed carriageway widening? <br> b) Are any vertical clearances reduced due to pavement overlay? <br> c) Is pavement thickness to be increased over any bridge decks either beam / slab or masonry arch? |

## APPENDIX C

Completed Minor Improvement Scheme Grant Application Form - AF 1
NATIONAL ROADS AUTHORITY
An tÚdarás um Bóithre Náisiúnta
MINOR IMPROVEMENT SCHEME GRANT APPLICATION FORM - AF 1
Scheme Name
N503 Overlay
Speed Limit (kph)
100

Route No. N503 Road Type S2

Location
Waterloo Road, Dublin 4
Grid Reference (Attach Location Map 1:50,000)

| Start |  | End |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Easting | Northing | Easting | Northing |
| 10000 | 10000 | 30000 | 30000 |


| Cross-Section | Attach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Segment Length (Km) | 1.2 Km |

Engineering

| Scrim | IRI | FWD | Visual | FOSD | SSD * | Surface Type | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 4 | Attached | Attached | 154 | N/A | S.D. | Flooding |

Geometric
Consistency ( 2 Km either direction)

| Route Consistency <br> (Attach Info) |  | Bendiness | C/way Width | FOSD | SSD * | Alignment <br> Constraint <br> (Ac) | Layout <br> Constraint <br> (Lc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | Attached | 7.5 m | 154 | N/A | Attached | 23 |

Safety

| Accident Statistics <br> (If Yes Attach Info) |  | Safety Schemes <br> (If Yes Attach Info) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | No | Yes | No |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

Capacity / Operational

| AADT | $\%$ HGV | $\%$ Overtaking | Traffic Count <br> Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5322 | $12.8 \%$ | $40 \%$ | RT 620 |

Environmental Constraints


Improvement Purpose

IRI Value indicates relatively undulat ing road.
FWD Survey indicates a number of structurally deficient sections, however the geometric
characteristics are adequate, hence the proposal is to provide an overlay commensurate with the structural analysis.
The horizontal alignment indicates some adverse camber which will be rectified in the overlay desig utilising the appropriate design speed as determined from NRA TA 85/06.

Category of Improvement (Tick Box)

| CAT 1 | CAT 2 | CAT 3 | Proposed <br> Surface Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ |  | HRA |

Envisaged Programme (Tick Box)


Cost Breakdown

| Construction ( $€$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prelims | Boundary Treatment | Drainage / Ducting | Earthworks | Pavements | Accom'ion Works | Ancillary Works | Design |
| 9,198 | 9,198 | 15,330 | 21,462 | 177,828 | 30,660 | 24,528 | 18,396 |


| Land Acq | Other |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |

Cost Estimate

| Total $€$ | $€ / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $€ 306,60 \mathrm{q}$ | $€ 35$ |

